Thermochemical Aspects of Partition. A Study on The Novel Hydrophobic Parameters of Multiply-Substituted Benzoic Acids

Katsuhiko Nakamura, Yong-Zhong Da, Takahiro Jikihara, and Hideaki Fujiwara*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, 1-6 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565

(Received September 26, 1994)

The thermochemical aspects of partition have been measured for multiply-substituted benzoic acids with the intention of disclosing the mode of change of the proposed novel hydrophobic parameters on multiple substitution in the phenyl ring. The substituent hydrophobic parameter (π) has been separated into two terms of enthalpic and entropic nature $(\pi_H$ and $\pi_S)$. It is necessary to take into account the effect of solvation around the hydrogen-bond-participating groups, such as OH, OCH₃, and NO₂, besides the benzoic CO₂H in interpreting the substituent dependency of π , π_H and π_S . Some Hammett sigma parameters, such as $\sigma_0(\rightarrow OH)$ and $\sigma_0(\rightarrow OCH_3)$, which mean the *ortho* substituent effect to the functional OH and OCH₃ groups, respectively, act in a compensating manner to π_H and π_S , resulting in a vanishing effect on π . These trends are in good conformity with the enthalpy-entropy compensation rule in chemical thermodynamics.

We recently studied the partition phenomenon of benzoic acid derivatives, fatty alcohols, and others in an oil/water system from the standpoint of the free energy, and applied the results to analyses of quantitative structure-activity relationships (QSAR). 1—3) Hitherto, in QSAR analyses of drugs, it has been usual to use the partition coefficient $(\log P)$ or fragment constant (f), which was derived from data of $\log P$, as a measure of drug hydrophobicity. Sometimes, electronic and steric factors are also included to accomplish a QSAR analysis. However, there are still many examples that can not be fully explained in such a conventional manner. In order to improve our estimation of the hydrophobicity, we considered the partition phenomenon from thermochemical points of view, and have introduced novel hydrophobic parameters ($P_{\rm H}$ and $P_{\rm S}$, or $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$), which are defined as follows based on the enthalpy $(\Delta H_{\rm P}^{\circ})$ and entropy $(\Delta S_{\rm P}^{\circ})$ of partition:

$$\log P = P_{\rm H} + P_{\rm S} \tag{1a}$$

$$P_{\rm H} = -\Delta H_{\rm P}^{\circ}/2.303RT; \ P_{\rm S} = \Delta S_{\rm P}^{\circ}/2.303R$$
 (1b)

$$\pi = \pi_{\rm H} + \pi_{\rm S} \tag{1c}$$

$$\pi_{\rm H} = P_{\rm H}({\rm X}) - P_{\rm H}({\rm H}); \ \pi_{\rm S} = P_{\rm S}({\rm X}) - P_{\rm S}({\rm H})$$
 (1d)

Here, R is the gas constant and T is the absolute temperature. As given in Eq. 1d, the $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ are defined as the difference in the $P_{\rm H}$ and $P_{\rm S}$, respectively, when a substituent (X) is introduced instead of H. These novel parameters have provided splendid QSAR analyses for monosubstituted benzoic acid derivatives, fatty alcohols, and others, which are superior to the results

reached by using the conventional parameters, $\log P$ or π . As these consequences, we have confirmed the necessity and feasibility of the above-mentioned novel hydrophobic parameters ($P_{\rm H}$ and $P_{\rm S}$, or $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$).^{1—3)}

In the present study, to further clarify the characteristics of novel hydrophobic parameters, we measured the $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ constants for multiply-substituted benzoic acid derivatives and investigated the mode of change of the $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ depending on multiple substitutions in the phenyl ring.

Experimental

Multiply-substituted benzoic acid derivatives from commercial sources were purified by recrystallization in methanol-water mixed solvents. The partition system comprised a 1-octanol and aqueous phase buffered at pH 1.98 by 50 mM KCl-HCl (1 M=1 moldm⁻³). The distilled 1-octanol and the buffered aqueous solution were presaturated with each other before use. Each derivative was dissolved to 0.1-20mM in 1-octanol. Two ml of this solution was mixed with a 10 ml buffered aqueous phase and sealed in an ampoule. The ampoule was shaken in a constant-temperature bath for about 24 h, and the concentration of the derivative was measured in the aqueous phase with a Hitachi U2000 spectrophotometer. In the case that the solute is dissolved in an organic phase before partitioning, the partition coefficient (K_p) is obtained according to Eq. 5, which is derivable from Eqs. 2, 3, and 4.

$$[S]_{\text{org}} = M_{\text{org}}/V_{\text{org}} \tag{2}$$

$$[S]_{aq} = M_{aq}/V_{aq} \tag{3}$$

$$[S_0] = (M_{\text{org}} + M_{\text{aq}})/V_{\text{org}}$$
 (4)

Table 1. Partition Properties $\log P$, $\Delta H_{\rm P}^{\circ}$, $\Delta S_{\rm P}^{\circ}$, and π , $\pi_{\rm H}$, $\pi_{\rm S}$ of Multiply-Substituted Benzoic Acid Derivatives in 1-Octanol/Water System

No.	Substituents	$\log P^{\mathbf{a})}$	$\Delta H_{ m P}^{\circ}$	$\Delta S_{\rm P}^{\circ}$	π	$\pi_{ m H}$	$\pi_{ m S}$
			$\overline{\mathrm{kJ}\mathrm{mol}^{-1}}$	$\overline{\mathrm{J}\mathrm{K}^{-1}\mathrm{mol}^{-1}}$			
1	Н	2.04(0.00)	-11.29	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	2-Cl, 5-Cl	2.82(0.01)	-13.53	8.44	0.78	0.39	0.38
3	2-Cl, 6-Cl	1.80(0.01)	-4.08	22.43	-0.24	-1.26	1.02
4	3-Cl, 4-Cl	3.25(0.01)	-16.01	9.62	1.21	0.83	0.38
5	2-Cl, 4-Cl	2.76(0.00)	-12.79	10.13	0.72	0.26	0.46
6	3-Cl, 5-Cl	3.29(0.01)	-13.08	19.29	1.25	0.32	0.93
7	2-F, 3-F	1.88(0.01)	-8.74	6.82	-0.16	-0.45	0.29
8	2-I, 3-I, 5-I	3.45(0.03)	-19.20	1.45	1.41	1.39	0.02
9	$2\text{-Cl}, 4\text{-NO}_2$	1.86(0.00)	-3.58	23.66	-0.18	-1.35	1.17
10	$2-Cl, 5-NO_2$	1.88(0.00)	-6.09	15.55	-0.16	-0.91	0.75
11	$4-Cl, 3-NO_2$	2.53(0.01)	-13.02	4.68	0.49	0.30	0.18
12	2-CH_3 , 3-NO_2	2.16(0.01)	-9.63	8.95	0.12	-0.29	0.41
13	$3-CH_3$, $4-NO_2$	2.42(0.01)	-12.67	3.79	0.38	0.24	0.14
14	$4-CH_3$, $3-NO_2$	2.35(0.01)	-13.67	-0.83	0.31	0.42	-0.11
15	$5-CH_3$, $2-NO_2$	1.63(0.00)	-2.32	23.40	-0.41	-1.57	1.16
16	3-NO ₂ , 5-NO ₂ , 4-OCH ₃	1.73(0.00)	-9.71	0.33	-0.31	-0.28	-0.04
17	2-OH, 3-CH ₃	3.04(0.01)	-21.22	-13.04	1.00	1.74	-0.74
18	$2\text{-OH}, 4\text{-CH}_3$	2.80(0.02)	-17.14	-3.93	0.76	1.03	-0.27
19	2-OH, 4-OH	1.75(0.00)	-21.62	-38.95	-0.29	1.81	-2.10
20	4 -OH, 3 -OCH $_3$	1.40(0.00)	-14.44	-21.67	-0.64	0.55	-1.19
21	5-Br, 2-OH	3.30(0.02)	-17.80	3.43	1.26	1.14	0.12
22	4-Br, 3-OH, 5-OH	1.75(0.00)	-25.81	-53.06	-0.29	2.55	-2.83
23	4-OH, 3-OCH ₃ , 5-OCH ₃	1.13(0.00)	-13.65	-24.12	-0.91	0.41	-1.32
24	$3-NO_2, 5-NO_2, 2-OH$	0.80(0.00)	-13.44	-29.80	-1.24	0.38	-1.61
25	3-OCH_3 , 4-OCH_3	1.48(0.00)	-6.39	6.81	-0.56	-0.86	0.30
26	3-OCH_3 , 4-OCH_3	2.20(0.00)	-12.48	0.31	0.19	0.21	-0.05
27	3-OCH ₃ , 4-OCH ₃ , 5-OCH ₃	1.66(0.00)	-2.35	23.88	-0.38	-1.57	1.18

a) The value at 25 °C is listed with a standard deviation in parenthesis

$$K_{\rm p} = \frac{[{\rm S}]_{\rm org}}{[{\rm S}]_{\rm aq}} = \frac{[{\rm S}_0]}{[{\rm S}]_{\rm aq}} - \frac{V_{\rm aq}}{V_{\rm org}}$$
 (5)

In the above Eqs., [S] and M are the concentration and mole number of the solute after partitioning, respectively; V denotes the volume of the phase mixed, the suffixes org and aq mean the organic phase and the aqueous phase, respectively, and $[S_0]$ stands for the initial solute concentration (molarity) in 1-octanol before partitioning. The enthalpy and entropy of the partition were determined from a van't Hoff plot after variable-temperature measurements of log P at nearly 25, 32, 40, 50, and 60 °C. At elevated temperatures each aqueous phase separated from the ampoule was half-diluted with the same volume of buffered water before measuring UV spectra, thus avoiding opalescence of the separated solution sometimes induced from a decreased solubility of 1-octanol at ambient temperature. These experiments were repeated several times to obtain averages and standard deviations of the partition properties.

Results and Discussion

The log P and other thermochemical properties of multiply-substituted benzoic acid derivatives are listed in Table 1. The novel hydrophobicity parameters ($\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$) are determined according to Eqs. 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d from the experimental thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta H_{\rm P}^{\rm o}$ and $\Delta S_{\rm P}^{\rm o}$); they are also included in Table 1. A correlation matrix is shown in Table 2 for π , $\pi_{\rm H}$, and

Table 2. Correlation Coefficients between π , $\pi_{\rm H}$, and $\pi_{\rm S}$

	π	$\pi_{ m H}$	$\pi_{ m S}$
π	1.000	0.390	0.299
$\pi_{ m H}$	0.390	1.000	-0.762
$\pi_{ m S}$	0.299	-0.762	1.000

 $\pi_{\rm S}$. The correlation between π and $\pi_{\rm H}$ or π and $\pi_{\rm S}$ is low (r=0.390 and r=0.299, respectively), showing that the original π constant is not dependent solely on the enthalpy or the entropy term, but that the latter two terms contribute cooperatively to π . It can be seen from the negative value of r (-0.762) for the correlation between $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ that the enthalpy and entropy terms contribute to π in a compensative manner. However, this enthalpy-entropy correlation is not so high as to make the partner term fully dependent on the other one. This fact is evidenced more directly in the experimental values of $\Delta H_{\rm P}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta S_{\rm P}^{\circ}$; $T\Delta S_{\rm P}^{\circ}$ contributes to the free energy term $(-2.303RT \log P)$ decisively in some derivatives and is comparable with $\Delta H_{\rm p}^{\circ}$ in other some cases, although it is almost negligible in other derivatives (Table 1).

Following the definition of the hydrophobic substituent constant (π) , the π value of a multiply-substituted derivative can be expressed, as a first approx-

Table 3. Surveyed and Calculated Values of Hydrophobic 1 toperates										
No.	Substituents	π	π'	$\pi_{ m H}$	$\pi_{ m H}'$	$\pi_{ m S}$	$\pi_{ m S}'$			
1	Н	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
2	2-Cl, 5-Cl	0.78	0.66	0.39	0.32	0.38	0.33			
3	2-Cl, 6-Cl	-0.24	-0.04	-1.26	-0.01	1.02	-0.03			
4	3-Cl, 4-Cl	1.21	1.25	0.83	0.83	0.38	0.42			
5	2-Cl, 4-Cl	0.72	0.56	0.26	0.50	0.46	0.06			
6	3-Cl, 5-Cl	1.25	1.35	0.32	0.66	0.93	0.69			
7	2-F, 3-F	-0.16	-0.46	-0.45	-0.27	0.29	-0.20			
8	2-I, 3-I, 5-I	1.41	2.60	1.39	2.39	0.02	0.20			
9	$2-Cl, 4-NO_2$	-0.18	-0.22	-1.35	-0.25	1.17	0.03			
10	$2-Cl, 5-NO_2$	-0.16	-0.37	-0.91	0.08	0.75	-0.45			
11	$4-Cl, 3-NO_2$	0.49	0.23	0.30	0.59	0.18	-0.36			
12	2-CH_3 , 3-NO_2	0.12	-0.12	-0.29	0.44	0.41	-0.56			
13	$3-CH_3$, $4-NO_2$	0.38	0.14	0.24	0.14	0.14	-0.01			
14	$4-CH_3$, $3-NO_2$	0.31	-0.13	0.42	-0.01	-0.11	-0.13			
15	$5-CH_3$, $2-NO_2$	-0.41	-0.51	-1.57	-1.07	1.16	0.56			
16	$3-NO_2$, $5-NO_2$, $4-OCH_3$	-0.31	-0.70	-0.28	0.16	-0.04	-0.86			
17	2-OH , 3-CH_3	1.00	0.51	1.74	1.39	-0.74	-0.88			
18	$2\text{-OH}, 4\text{-CH}_3$	0.76	0.39	1.03	0.92	-0.27	-0.53			
19	2-OH, 4-OH	-0.29	-0.46	1.81	0.20	-2.10	-0.66			
20	4-OH , 3-OCH_3	-0.64	-0.69	0.55	-0.52	-1.19	-0.17			
21	5-Br, 2-OH	1.26	0.99	1.14	2.11	0.12	-1.12			
22	4-Br, 3-OH, 5-OH	-0.29	-0.21	2.55	3.66	-2.83	-3.87			
23	4-OH , 3-OCH_3 , 5-OCH_3	-0.91	-0.76	0.41	-0.24	-1.32	-0.52			
24	$3-NO_2, 5-NO_2, 2-OH$	-1.24	-0.53	0.38	1.17	-1.61	-1.70			
25	3-OCH_3 , 4-OCH_3	-0.56	-0.15	-0.86	0.08	0.30	-0.23			
26	3-OCH_3 , 4-OCH_3	0.19	-0.13	0.21	0.57	-0.05	-0.70			
27	3-OCH_3 , 4-OCH_3 , 5-OCH_3	-0.38	-0.22	-1.57	0.37	1.18	-0.58			

Table 3. Surveyed and Calculated Values of Hydrophobic Properties^{a)}

imation, by the sum of the π of each substituent that is defined from monosubstituted derivative. Similarly, $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ of a multiply-substituted derivative may be expressed as a sum of those of monosubstituted derivatives. These first-approximation relations are designated as follows:

$$\pi' = \sum \pi(R_i),\tag{6}$$

$$\pi_{\rm H}' = \sum \pi_{\rm H}(R_i) \tag{7}$$

and

$$\pi_{\rm S}' = \sum \pi_{\rm S}(R_i),\tag{8}$$

where π' , $\pi'_{\rm H}$, and $\pi'_{\rm S}$ mean the π' values of multiply-substituted derivatives predicted from a simple sum rule, Σ means the summation for all substituents, and π (R_i) is the π constant of the ith substituent assigned based on the data for monosubstituted derivatives. According to Eqs. 6, 7, and 8, π' , $\pi'_{\rm H}$, and $\pi'_{\rm S}$ are calculated by using the π constants of monosubstituted benzoic acids already reported by the authors.³⁾ These values are summarized in Table 3. Linear regressions of π , $\pi_{\rm H}$, and $\pi_{\rm S}$ against π' , $\pi'_{\rm H}$, and $\pi'_{\rm S}$, respectively, are summarized in Table 4. Table 4 shows that the regression coefficients are smaller than unity, indicating a decreased substituent effect in multiply-substituted derivatives, and that the correlation coefficients are not

satisfactorily high. In the case of π , a quadratic equation afforded the correlation coefficient of 0.931, i.e., $\pi=-0.264(0.132)(\pi')^2+1.181(0.230)\pi'+0.1699(0.121)$; such a relation is interpreted as simply suggesting a saturation profile of the substituent effect on multiple substitution. That is, an electronic effect of one substituent becomes decreased upon multiple substitution, since the substituents already attached to a phenyl ring have changed the electronic distribution on the ring so that there remains insufficient room for a newly introduced substituent to change the distribution effectively.

To discuss π , π_H , and π_S further in detail, these parameters are tested with respect to substituent electronic and steric effects. When the Hammett substituent constants $(\sigma)^4$ and the incremental van der Waals volume $(\Delta V_{\rm w})^{5}$ are introduced into the regression analyses, the correlations listed in Table 4 (Eqs. 9, 10, and 11) are slightly improved, as shown in the same Table 4 (Eqs. 12, 13, and 14), where $\sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H})$ is the Hammett substituent constant acting on the CO₂H group from the ortho position and $\sigma_{m,p}(\rightarrow CO_2H)$ is a similar constant for a substituent located at the meta or para position. It can be seen from these equations that the Hammett constant plays only a very limited role. The substituents treated in the present study include hydrogen-bond-participating groups, such as NO₂, OCH₃, and OH, besides the ben-

a) The calculated values π' , $\pi'_{\rm H}$, and $\pi'_{\rm S}$ were obtained from mono-substituted derivatives followed to

Table 4. Formulated Equation of Surveyed Hydrophobic Properties π , $\pi_{\rm H}$, and $\pi_{\rm S}$ against the Simple Sum Predictions

Eq. No.	Equations ^{a)}	$n^{\mathrm{b})}$	$R^{\mathrm{c})}$	$R'^{ m d)}$	$\mathrm{SD}^\mathrm{e)}$	$F^{ m f)}$
9	$\pi = 0.82(0.18) \ \pi' + 0.06(0.14)$	27	0.88	0.87	0.12	84.7
10	$\pi_{\rm H} = 0.77(0.31) \ \pi'_{\rm H} - 0.20(0.34)$	27	0.71	0.70	0.56	25.7
11	$\pi_{\rm S} = 0.83(0.33) \ \pi'_{\rm S} + 0.30(0.32)$	27	0.72	0.71	0.51	26.7
12	π =0.92(0.08) π' -1.23(0.36) $\Delta V_{\rm W}$ +0.02(0.12) $\sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}$ (\rightarrow CO ₂ H)	27	0.93	0.91	0.29	32.7
	$-0.06(0.23) \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H}) + 0.47(0.14)$					
13	$\pi_{\rm H} = 0.74(0.15) \ \pi'_{\rm H} - 1.23(0.78) \ \Delta V_{\rm W} - 0.36(0.27) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p} (\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H})$	27	0.83	0.80	0.62	12.5
	$-1.13(0.55) \sum \sigma_{o}(\rightarrow CO_{2}H) + 0.39(0.28)$					
14	$\pi_{\rm S}$ =0.72(0.17) $\pi'_{\rm S}$ +0.25(0.79) $\Delta V_{\rm W}$ +0.39(0.29) $\sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\to {\rm CO_2H})$	27	0.79	0.74	0.68	9.1
	$+1.10(0.57) \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H}) + 0.01(0.30)$					

a) The values in parentheses express standard errors.
 b) Number of data.
 c) Multiple correlation coefficient.
 d) Multiple correlation coefficient adjusted for the degree of freedom.
 e) Standard deviation.
 f) Variance ratio.

Table 5. Formulated Equation of Surveyed Hydrophobic Properties π , π_H , and π_S Considering "Forward and Backward" Effects

Eq. No.	Equations ^{a)}	$n^{\mathrm{b})}$	$R^{\mathrm{c})}$	$R'^{\mathrm{d})}$	$\mathrm{SD}^{\mathrm{e})}$	$F^{ m f)}$
15	$ \pi = 2.00(0.52) \ \Delta V_{\rm W} + 0.38(0.26) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H}) - 1.28(0.28) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H}) \\ -0.96(0.25) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm OH}) + 0.31(0.32) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm OH}) - 0.95(0.18) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm NO_2}) \\ -1.12(0.34) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm OCH_3}) - 0.04(0.51) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm OCH_3}) + 0.25(0.10) \ \sum Es_{\rm o} \\ +0.17(0.15) $	27	0.95	0.92	0.28	15.9
16	$\begin{array}{l} \pi_{\rm H}{=}2.00(0.52) \ \Delta V_{\rm W}{+}0.38(0.26) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H}){-}1.28(0.28) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm CO_2H}) \\ -0.96(0.25) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm OH}){+}0.31(0.32) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm OH}){-}0.95(0.18) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm NO_2}) \\ -1.12(0.34) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm OCH_3}){-}0.04(0.51) \ \sum \sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm OCH_3}){+}0.25(0.10) \ \sum Es_{\rm o} \\ -0.06(0.23) \end{array}$	27	0.94	0.90	0.45	13.7
17	$ \begin{aligned} \pi_{\mathrm{S}} &= 2.00(0.52) \stackrel{.}{\Delta} V_{\mathrm{W}} + 0.38(0.26) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{m,p}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{CO_2H}) - 1.28(0.28) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{o}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{CO_2H}) \\ &- 0.96(0.25) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{m,p}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{OH}) + 0.31(0.32) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{o}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{OH}) - 0.95(0.18) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{m,p}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{NO_2}) \\ &- 1.12(0.34) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{m,p}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{OCH_3}) - 0.04(0.51) \stackrel{.}{\sum} \sigma_{\mathrm{o}} (\rightarrow \mathrm{OCH_3}) + 0.25(0.10) \stackrel{.}{\sum} Es_{\mathrm{o}} \\ &+ 0.23(0.20) \end{aligned} $	27	0.95	0.92	0.39	18.0

a) The values in parentheses are standard errors. b) Number of data. c) Multiple correlation coefficient. d) Multiple correlation coefficient adjusted for the degree of freedom. e) Standard deviation. f) Variance ratio.

zoic CO₂H group. The profile of the hydrogen-bonding solvation around these groups may change, reflecting the electronic and steric effects from other groups on the same phenyl ring. For example, for 3-methyl-4nitrobenzoic acid, NO₂ group affects solvation around the CO₂H group (forward effect); also, the CO₂H group affects the NO₂ group (backward effect). In this case, however, the CO₂H and NO₂ groups do not affect the CH₃ group significantly, since CH₃ is not a substituent that participates considerably in solvation (by hydrogen bonding). Such "forward and backward" effects have already been proposed⁶⁾ to successfully interpret the log P data of multiply-substituted aromatic compounds. For a full application of such a treatment, the collection of much more data is necessary with different congeners, including several congeners other than the benzoic acid treated here. However, a rather simple extension of such a "forward and backward" idea may be possible by including the Hammett substitutent constants for all of the hydrogen-bonding groups, besides CO_2H . For example, the inclusion of $\sigma(\rightarrow OH)$ in a regression analysis, where →OH means that the electronic effect is acting on the OH group in the phenol derivatives, may allow for changes in the hydrogen-bonding

profile around the phenolic OH group. In actual regression analyses, σ constants are included at first toward all of the hydrogen-bonding substituents (CO₂H, OH, NO_2 , and OCH_3) on the phenyl ring. Then, any unnecessary σ constants are removed one by one following a step-by-step regression analysis. The final analyses are summarized in Table 5. In these results the σ constants $\sigma_{\rm o}(\to {\rm OH})$ and $\sigma_{\rm o}(\to {\rm OCH_3})$ contribute to $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ in a compensating manner, resulting in an insignificant contribution to the π term. The same is true, more or less, for the σ constants $(\sigma_{m,p}(\rightarrow CO_2H), \sigma_o(\rightarrow CO_2H),$ $\sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm OH})$, and $\sigma_{\rm o}(\rightarrow {\rm OH})$) and for $\Delta V_{\rm w}$. As exceptional cases, $\sigma_{\rm m,p}(\rightarrow {\rm NO_2})$ contributes to $\pi_{\rm H}$ and $\pi_{\rm S}$ in the same manner, and $\sigma_{\rm m,p}({\rightarrow}{\rm OCH_3})$ and ${\sum} \textit{Es}_{\rm o}$ contribute only to $\pi_{\rm H}$ (and hence to π), but not to $\pi_{\rm S}$. These trends may be interpreted as showing the importance of solvation around functional groups by hydrogen-bonding; usually, when such solvation is strengthened enthalpically, solvent molecules are tightly bound to the functional groups, and hence, solvation is weakened in an entropic sense.

Financial support from The Fujisawa Foundation (to Y.-Z. Da) is greatfully acknowledged.

References

- 1) H. Fujiwara, H. Yoshikawa, S. Murata, and Y. Sasaki, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 39, 109 (1991).
- 2) H. Fujiwara, Y.-Z. Da, and K. Ito, *Chem. Lett.*, **1992**, 215.
- 3) Y.-Z. Da, K. Ito, and H. Fujiwara, *J. Med. Chem.*, **35**, 3382 (1992).
- 4) C. Hansch and A. Leo, "Substituent Constants for Correlation Analysis in Chemistry and Biology," Wiley Interscience, New York (1979).
- 5) I. Moriguchi, Y. Kanada, and K. Komatsu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **8**, 1799 (1976).
- 6) Y. Nakagawa, K. Izumi, N. Oikawa, T. Sotomatsu, M. Shigemura, and T. Fujita, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, **11**, 901 (1992).